



TITLE:

On local connectivity of boundaries of CAT(0) spaces (General and Geometric Topology and its Applications)

AUTHOR(S):

Hosaka, Tetsuya

CITATION:

Hosaka, Tetsuya. On local connectivity of boundaries of CAT(0) spaces (General and Geometric Topology and its Applications). 数理解析研究所講究録 2012, 1781: 54-58

ISSUE DATE:

2012-03

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/171847>

RIGHT:

On local connectivity of boundaries of CAT(0) spaces

静岡大学理学部

保坂 哲也 (Tetsuya Hosaka)

We introduce (non-)local connectivity of boundaries of CAT(0) spaces and hyperbolic CAT(0) spaces.

Definitions and basic properties of CAT(0) spaces, hyperbolic spaces and their boundaries are found in [3], [10] and [11].

A metric space X is said to be *proper* if every closed metric ball is compact. A group G is called a *CAT(0) group* if G acts geometrically (i.e. properly and cocompactly by isometries) on some CAT(0) space. It is known that a CAT(0) space on which a CAT(0) group acts geometrically is proper. A boundary ∂X of a CAT(0) space X on which a CAT(0) group G acts geometrically is called a *boundary* of the CAT(0) group G . It is known that in general a CAT(0) group G does not determine its boundary [5]. If G is a hyperbolic group then G determines its boundary up to homeomorphisms (cf. [3], [10] and [11]).

The following problems are open.

Problem. When is a boundary of a CAT(0) group (non-)locally connected?

Problem. If G is a hyperbolic CAT(0) group whose boundary is connected then is the boundary locally connected?

Problem. For a CAT(0) group G and CAT(0) spaces X and Y on which G acts geometrically, is it the case that the boundary ∂X is locally connected if and only if the boundary ∂Y is locally connected?

There is a research on (local) n -connectivity of boundaries of hyperbolic Coxeter groups by A. N. Dranishnikov in [8], and there are some research on (non-)local connectivity of boundaries of CAT(0) groups and Coxeter groups by M. Mihalik, K. Ruane and S. Tschantz in [17] and [18].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce sufficient conditions of

- (i) a hyperbolic CAT(0) group whose boundary is locally n -connected by using reflections, and
- (ii) a CAT(0) space whose boundary is non-locally connected by using a hyperbolic isometry and a reflection.

Local n -connectivity of boundaries of hyperbolic CAT(0) spaces

We define a *reflection* of a geodesic space as follows: An isometry r of a geodesic space X is called a *reflection* of X , if

- (1) r^2 is the identity of X ,
- (2) $X \setminus F_r$ has exactly two convex connected components X_r^+ and X_r^- and
- (3) $rX_r^+ = X_r^-$,

where F_r is the fixed-points set of r . We note that “reflections” in this paper need not satisfy the condition (4) $\text{Int } F_r = \emptyset$ in [15].

Theorem 1. *Suppose that a group G acts geometrically (i.e. properly and cocompactly by isometries) on a hyperbolic CAT(0) space X . If*

- (1) *there exist some reflections $r_1, \dots, r_n \in G$ of X such that $G = \langle r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle$ and*
- (2) *the boundary ∂X of X is n -connected,*

then the boundary ∂X is locally n -connected.

Corollary 2. *Suppose that a hyperbolic Coxeter group W acts geometrically on a hyperbolic CAT(0) space X . If the boundary ∂X of X is n -connected then ∂X is locally n -connected.*

From [8], we also obtain a corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let (W, S) be a hyperbolic Coxeter system and let $L = L(W, S)$ be the nerve of the Coxeter system (W, S) . For any hyperbolic CAT(0) space X on which the hyperbolic Coxeter group W acts geometrically, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *L is connected and $L - \sigma$ is connected for any simplex σ of L ,*
- (ii) *$\check{H}^0(\partial X) = 0$ where \check{H}^* denote the reduced Čech cohomology,*

- (iii) the boundary ∂X of X is connected, and
- (iv) the boundary ∂X of X is locally connected.

Here the following problems are open.

Problem. If G is a hyperbolic CAT(0) group whose boundary is n -connected then is the boundary locally n -connected?

Problem. For a non-elementary hyperbolic Coxeter group W on which acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space X , is it the case that the following statements are equivalent?

- (i) $\tilde{H}^i(\partial X) = 0$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n$,
- (ii) L is n -connected and $L - \sigma$ is n -connected for any simplex σ of L ,
- (iii) the boundary ∂X of X is n -connected, and
- (iv) the boundary ∂X of X is locally n -connected.

Non-local connectivity of boundaries of CAT(0) spaces

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and let g be an isometry of X . The *translation length* of g is the number $|g| := \inf\{d(x, gx) \mid x \in X\}$, and the *minimal set* of g is defined as $\text{Min}(g) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, gx) = |g|\}$. An isometry g of X is said to be *hyperbolic*, if $\text{Min}(g) \neq \emptyset$ and $|g| > 0$ (cf. [3, p.229]). For a hyperbolic isometry g of a proper CAT(0) space X , g^∞ is the limit point of the boundary ∂X to which the sequence $\{g^i x_0\}_i$ converges, where x_0 is a point of X . Here we note that the limit point g^∞ is not depend on the point x_0 .

A CAT(0) space X is said to be *almost geodesically complete*, if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for each pair of points $x, y \in X$, there is a geodesic ray $\zeta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ such that $\zeta(0) = x$ and ζ passes within M of y . In [9, Corollary 3], R. Geoghegan and P. Ontaneda have proved that every non-compact cocompact proper CAT(0) space is almost geodesically complete. Here a CAT(0) space X is said to be *cocompact*, if some group acts cocompactly by isometries on X .

On non-local connectivity of CAT(0) spaces, we obtained the following.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a proper and almost geodesically complete CAT(0) space, let g be a hyperbolic isometry of X and let r be a reflection of X . If*

- (1) $g^\infty \notin \partial F_r$,
- (2) $g(\partial F_r) \subset \partial F_r$ and
- (3) $\text{Min}(g) \cap F_r = \emptyset$,

then the boundary ∂X of X is non-locally connected.

Here we note that the action of the group G on the CAT(0) space X in Theorem 4 need not be proper and cocompact.

The conditions in Theorem 4 are rather technical. We introduce some remarks.

First, every CAT(0) space on which some group acts geometrically (i.e. properly and cocompactly by isometries) is proper ([3, p.132]) and *almost geodesically complete* ([9], [20]).

Also, in [22], Ruane has proved that $\partial \text{Min}(g)$ is the fixed-points set of g in ∂X , i.e.,

$$\partial \text{Min}(g) = \{\alpha \in \partial X \mid g\alpha = \alpha\}.$$

Hence, for example, if $\partial F_r \subset \partial \text{Min}(g)$ then $g(\partial F_r) = \partial F_r$ and the condition (2) in Theorem 4 holds.

As an example of CAT(0) spaces on which some reflections act, there is the Davis complex of a Coxeter system. A Coxeter system (W, S) determines the *Davis complex* $\Sigma(W, S)$ which is a CAT(0) space ([6], [19]). Then the Coxeter group W acts geometrically on $\Sigma(W, S)$ and each $s \in S$ is a reflection of $\Sigma(W, S)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Ballmann and M. Brin, *Orbihedra of nonpositive curvature*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 82 (1995), 169–209.
- [2] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov and V. Schroeder, *Manifolds of Nonpositive Curvature*, Progr. Math. vol. 61, Birkhäuser, Boston MA, 1985.
- [3] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [4] P. Caprace and K. Fujiwara, *Rank-one isometries of buildings and quasi-morphisms of Kac-Moody groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 19 (2010), 1296–1319.
- [5] C. B. Croke and B. Kleiner, *Spaces with nonpositive curvature and their ideal boundaries*, Topology 39 (2000), 549–556.
- [6] M. W. Davis, *Nonpositive curvature and reflection groups*, in Handbook of geometric topology (Edited by R. J. Daverman and R. B. Sher), pp. 373–422, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [7] M. W. Davis, *The cohomology of a Coxeter group with group ring coefficients*, Duke Math. J. 91 (no.2) (1998), 297–314.
- [8] A. N. Dranishnikov, *On boundaries of hyperbolic Coxeter groups*, Topology Appl. 110 (2001), 29–38.

- [9] R. Geoghegan and P. Ontaneda, *Boundaries of cocompact proper $CAT(0)$ spaces*, Topology 46 (2007), 129–137.
- [10] E. Ghys and P. de la Harpe (ed), *Sur les Groups Hyperboliques d'apres Mikhael Gromov*, Progr. Math. vol. 83, Birkhäuser, Boston MA, 1990.
- [11] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, in Essays in group theory (Edited by S. M. Gersten), pp. 75–263, M.S.R.I. Publ. 8, 1987.
- [12] U. Hamenstädt, *Rank-one isometries of proper $CAT(0)$ -spaces*, Contemp. Math. 501 (2009), 43–59.
- [13] T. Hosaka, *On the cohomology of Coxeter groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 162 (2001), 291–301.
- [14] T. Hosaka, *The interior of the limit set of groups*, Houston J. Math. 30 (2004), 705–721.
- [15] T. Hosaka, *Reflection groups of geodesic spaces and Coxeter groups*, Topology Appl. 153 (2006), 1860–1866.
- [16] T. Hosaka, *On boundaries of Coxeter groups and topological fractal structures*, preprint.
- [17] M. Mihalik and K. Ruane, *$CAT(0)$ groups with non-locally connected boundary*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 60 (1999), 757–770.
- [18] M. Mihalik, K. Ruane and S. Tschantz, *Local connectivity of right-angled Coxeter group boundaries*, J. Group Theory 10 (2007), 531–560.
- [19] G. Moussong, *Hyperbolic Coxeter groups*, Ph.D. thesis, The Ohio State University, 1988.
- [20] P. Ontaneda, *Cocompact $CAT(0)$ spaces are almost geodesically complete*, Topology 44 (2005), 47–62.
- [21] P. Papasoglu and E. L. Swenson, *Boundaries and JSJ decompositions of $CAT(0)$ -groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 19 (2009), 558–590.
- [22] K. Ruane, *Dynamics of the action of a $CAT(0)$ groups on the boundary*, Geom. Dedicata 84 (2001), 81–99.
- [23] E. L. Swenson, *A cut point theorem for $CAT(0)$ groups*, J. Differential Geom. 53 (1999), 327–358.